

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

Text

WIRELESS INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS  
ASSOCIATION,

*Petitioner,*

v.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

*Respondents.*

No. 15-1117  
(consolidated with Nos.  
15-1063 *et al.*)

**STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE RAISED**

In accordance with this Court's April 27, 2015 Order, petitioner Wireless Internet Service Providers Association submits this nonbinding statement of issues to be raised in this case.

In the order under review,<sup>1</sup> the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") reversed decades of precedent and reclassified broadband Internet access services as telecommunications services subject to common carrier regulation under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934; asserted authority for the first time to regulate the terms on which broadband Internet access service providers

---

<sup>1</sup> Report and Order on Remand, Declaratory Ruling, and Order, *Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet*, GN Docket No. 14-28, FCC 15-24 (rel. Mar. 12, 2015).

interconnect with other Internet Protocol (“IP”) networks; and adopted a series of related rules, including an amorphous Internet “conduct standard.”

The issues to be raised are:

1. Whether the FCC’s reclassification of broadband Internet access service as a telecommunications service subject to common carrier regulation under Title II violates the terms of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, or the First or Fifth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution; is based on an unreasonable interpretation of the statute; or is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise contrary to law.
2. Whether the FCC’s assertion of authority over the terms on which broadband Internet access providers interconnect with other IP networks, and its classification of that interconnection as a common carrier telecommunications service under Title II violates the terms of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, or the First or Fifth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution; is based on an unreasonable interpretation of the statute; or is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise contrary to law.
3. Whether the specific rules the FCC adopted, including but not limited to its Internet conduct standard, exceed the agency’s authority, are arbitrary and capricious, or otherwise contrary to law.

4. Whether the FCC, in classifying both broadband Internet access service and IP interconnection as telecommunications services subject to common carrier regulation under Title II, and in adopting specific rules pursuant to its assertions of authority over broadband Internet access service providers, violated the notice and comment provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stephen E. Coran

Stephen E. Coran

Dennis P. Corbett

LERMAN SENTER PLLC

2000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 429-8970

*Counsel for Petitioner Wireless  
Internet Service Providers  
Association*

May 27, 2015

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on May 27, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit using the appellate CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the appellate CM/ECF system.

*/s/ Stephen E. Coran*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen E. Coran