

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-1063****September Term, 2014****FCC-15-24****Filed On: May 19, 2015** [1553154]

United States Telecom Association,

Petitioner

v.

Federal Communications Commission and  
United States of America,

Respondents

-----  
AdHoc Telecommunications Users  
Committee, et al.,  
Intervenors  
-----Consolidated with 15-1078, 15-1086,  
15-1090, 15-1091, 15-1092, 15-1095,  
15-1099, 15-1117, 15-1128**ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motions for leave to intervene filed by:

Credo Mobil, Inc., Netflix, Inc., Akamai Technologies, Inc., Vimeo, Inc.,  
New America's Open Technology Institute, Vonage Holdings Corporation,  
Cogent Communications, Inc., National Association of Regulatory Utility  
Commissioners, Independent Telephone & Telecommunications Alliance,  
ColorOfChange.org, COMPTTEL, Level 3 Communications, LLC, DISH Network  
Corporation, Public Knowledge, Etsy, Inc., Kickstarter, Inc., AdHoc Telecommunications  
Users Committee, Free Press, Center for Democracy & Technology, Tumblr, Inc.,  
Meetup, Inc., Union Square Ventures, LLC, National Association of State Utility  
Consumer Advocates, Demand Progress, Fight For The Future, Inc.,it is **ORDERED** that the motions be granted.Circuit Rules 28(d) and 32(a)(2) govern the filing of briefs by intervenors. A  
schedule for the filing of briefs will be established by future order. That order will

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-1063****September Term, 2014**

automatically provide briefing only for intervenors on the side of respondents. Any intervenor(s) intending to participate in support of petitioners must so notify the court, in writing, within 14 days of the date of this order. Such notification must include a statement of the issues to be raised by the intervenor(s). This notification will allow tailoring of the briefing schedule to provide time for a brief as intervenor on the side of petitioners. Failure to submit notification could result in an intervenor being denied leave to file a brief.

Intervenors supporting the same party are reminded that they **must** file a joint brief or certify to the court why a separate brief is necessary. Intervenors' attention is particularly directed to D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 38-39 (2013), which describes "unacceptable" grounds for filing separate briefs. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. See D.C. Cir. Rule 38.

**FOR THE COURT:**

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Ken R. Meadows  
Deputy Clerk